Document 123

Case 2:23-cv-05379-AH-BFM

27

28

Filed 08/12/25 Page 1 of 4 Page ID

Stipulation to Set the Trial Date and Other Case Deadlines filed January 7, 2025 (the "Scheduling Order") [Dkt. No. 106].

### **JOINT STATUS REPORT ON THE CASE**

- 1. The Parties do not believe that a jury trial in this case is needed considering the Court's ruling on summary judgment.
- 2. On June 16, 2025, the Court issued an order granting the SEC's motion for partial summary judgment, determining that Defendants had violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 [15 U.S.C. §77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b); 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] (the "Summary Judgment Order") [Dkt. No. 122].
- 3. As noted in the Summary Judgment Order, the SEC did not seek summary judgment as to "all of Defendants' fraudulent misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct, which [the SEC] reserves its rights with respect thereto." [Dkt. No. 122 at 3].
- 4. Notwithstanding its reservation of rights, the SEC has determined to proceed to the determination of remedies and entry of final judgment rather than conduct a jury trial regarding the unresolved allegedly fraudulent misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct for which the SEC did not seek summary judgment, including because (a) the Summary Judgment Order finds liability for Counts I and II of the Complaint for all named Defendants, (b) the Summary Judgment Order addresses most of the fraudulent misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct at issue in this case (including by finding liability with respect to each of the four securities offerings at issue in this case), and (c) the SEC believes that holding a jury trial with respect to the few remaining unresolved misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct would not be in the interests of

judicial economy considering the determinations of liability made by the Court in the Summary Judgment Order.<sup>1</sup>

- 5. On July 16, 2025, the SEC informed Defendants and Relief Defendants that it was willing to forego a trial on the remaining liability issues and to proceed to the determination of remedies, any unjust enrichment to the Relief Defendants, and entry of final judgment. On the same day, the SEC transmitted a proposed Stipulation and Order to Defendants and Relief Defendants setting forth a procedure for the determination of remedies.
- 6. Defendants and Relief Defendants are still considering that proposal and do not believe that a jury trial is necessary.
- 7. The Parties have not filed any motions *in limine*, memoranda of contentions of fact and law, a joint witness list, or a joint exhibit list considering the SEC's decision to proceed to the determination of remedies and entry of final judgment.
- 8. The Parties anticipate filing imminently a proposed Stipulation and Order vacating the final pretrial conference date and jury trial dates and setting forth a proposed procedure for determination of remedies and determination of any unjust enrichment of the Relief Defendants.

## JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING SETTLEMENT

9. Further to the Scheduling Order's requirement to submit a Joint Status Report Regarding Settlement, the Parties note that they conducted a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Fuller Mircheff on February 25, 2025. [Dkt. No. 110].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, in the event that this case were to proceed to trial for any reason, the SEC reserves its right to put forward and seek the adjudication of all of Defendants' fraudulent misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct, including those misstatements, omissions, and deceptive conduct not raised in its motion for partial summary judgment.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. The Parties intend to engage in further settlement discussions regarding the appropriate remedies and any unjust enrichment of the Relief Defendants in advance of briefing the remedies issues. The Parties believe that they may be able to reach agreement on at least some remedies-related issues and thereby narrow the issues before the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Eugene N. Hansen

Eugene N. Hansen (pro hac vice)
Samantha M. Williams (pro hac vice)
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549
Email: <a href="mailto:hansene@sec.gov">hansene@sec.gov</a>
Telephone: (202) 551-6091

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Securities and Exchange Commission

#### /s/ Russell Duncan

Russell Duncan (pro hac vice) Clark Hill PLC 1001 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Suite 1300 South Washington, DC 20004 Email: rduncan@clarkhill.com Telephone: (202) 640-6657

Attorneys for Defendants and Relief Defendants

Pursuant to L.R. 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

# <u>/s/ Eugene N. Hansen</u>

Eugene N. Hansen (pro hac vice)